IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.1 OF 2005
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.55 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.21 OF 2005
IN
PETITION NO.711 OF 2004

Mohan Gopal Rane of Mumbai ..Petitioner

Vs.

Mrs.Suhasini Bhaskar Rane and Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Pankaj Das i/b Rajesh Datar for the petitioner

Mr.T.G.Vora i/b Bina Shivhare for the respondents

CORAM : S.U.KAMDAR, J
DATED : 7TH OCTOBER, 2005

P.C.:

. The present petition is filed for seeking review of my order dt.12.8.05. It seems that in para 2 of my order, the amount of Rs.6,70,000/- has been inadvertently mentioned wherein the amount lying in the bank is Rs.4,29,369.71. Originally the amount was lying in the bank was Rs.6,70,000/- but the amount of Rs.2,50,000/- was withdrawn. In that view of the matter in para 3 I have passed a

direction that the petitoner must give account of Rs.2,50,000/- withdrawn by him and produce the passbook of the bank account and give inspection of all the documents on which he has relied upon towards the said expenditure of Rs.2,50,000/-. In para 2 I have mentioned that the amount of Rs.6,70,000/- is lying in Savings Bank A/c No.977 with C.K.Co-operative Bank Ltd. From the aforesaid facts I am satisfied that the figure mentioned as Rs.6,70,000/- has been inadvertently mentioned in para 2. It should be read as Rs.4,29,369.71. Petition is accordingly made absolute to the aforesaid extent and disposed of. No order as to costs.